Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/587,876	
All Participants: (1) MISOOK YU. (2) Brian Jones. (4) Date of Interview: 15 June 2010 Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Prior art documents discussed:	
All Participants: (1) MISOOK YU. (2) Brian Jones. (4) Date of Interview: Type of Interview: Telephonic	
(1) MISOOK YU. (2) Brian Jones. (4) Date of Interview: 15 June 2010 Time: Type of Interview: \[\text{Telephonic} \] \[\text{Video Conference} \] \[\text{Personal (Copy given to: } \] \[\text{Applicant} \] \[\text{Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: } \] \[\text{Yes} \] \[\text{If Yes, provide a brief description:} \] Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:	
(2) Brian Jones. Date of Interview: 15 June 2010 Type of Interview: Telephonic	
Date of Interview: 15 June 2010 Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Prior art documents discussed:	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II.	
Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II.	
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II.	
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: Part II.	
Prior art documents discussed:	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
See Continuation Sheet	
Part III.	
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the int directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the int did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	stance
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate appropriate of the control of t	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/587,876

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's request on June 11, 2010, Mr. David Vanik authorized to cancel the withdrawn claims. However, the specification contains amino acids sequences not identified by SEQ ID NO. Mr. Jones on 06/15/2010 stated that some of the sequences do not have SEQ ID NO in the CRF and the sequence listing. Applicant's representative (Mr. Brian Jones) was told that Qualye action would be mailed.